

Palomar Mountain Planning Organization  
San Diego County General Plan 2020 Committee Report  
August 10, 2009

- A. Rural Commercial Land use Recommendation (see map)
1. Approximate 6 acres around store, café, and post office.
  2. Heliport.
  3. U. S. Forest Service Group Camp. ( land North of county Road S 7 only )
  4. PMVFD Fire Station. ( no adjacent Parcels )
  5. The Jerry Woods Property ( East side of Canfield Road across from store )

- B. Density Recommendation. Pre Forest Conservation Initiative (see map)  
( From the Bailey Subdivision to the Crestline Birch Hill Subdivision and the land in between them.)

- C. San Diego draft Environmental Impact Report Recommendation.

There was general discussion with regard to the EIR Report including Tom Burtons' analysis of the report. Particular attention was paid to chapter one of the Report, whereby the county anticipates future population growth on the Mountain to double within a very short time-frame. The group agreed that this assumption on behalf of the county was inaccurate and did not reflect the uniqueness of Palomar Mountain and its land owners.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The Committee unanimously agreed with the direction of the EIR, however they do note some factual errors. The counties' forecasted population growth for Palomar Mountain is believed to be inaccurate. The following three reasons for disagreeing with the county are cited.

- The water share availability on Birch Hill and Crestline roads will prevent the growth that the county anticipates.
- Not upgrading the mountain access roads will prevent the anticipated growth.
- The county not investing in Palomar Mountains' infrastructure and not providing an increased police presence will prevent the anticipated growth.